

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/731,088	12/05/2000	Charles D. Wolfson	STL9-2000-0066US1/1804P 9367	
Iosenh A. Saw	7590 11/15/2007		EXAM	INER
Joseph A. Sawyer, Jr. Sawyer Law Group LLP			· RIMELL, SAMUEL G	
P.O. Box 51418 Palo Alto, CA 94303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2164	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			\sim		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	»	09/731,088	WOLFSON, CHARLES D.		
8	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Sam Rimell	2164		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the toric reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 M	lay 2007.			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.			
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) _ acc		Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) <u>□</u> a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage		
			PIMARY EXAMINER		
Attachmen	et(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) 🖂 Interview Com	(PTO 412)		
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4)	ate		

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/731,088

Art Unit: 2164

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

<u>Claims 1, 7, 13:</u> The phrase "the message system" lacks antecedent basis.

<u>Claims 2-6, 8-12, 14-18:</u> Depend on claims 1, 7, 13 respectively.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claims 1, 7, 13: Each of claims 1, 7 and 13 have been amended to recite that the message system is separate from the database system. Such a feature was never set forth in the original disclosure and is new matter. The messaging system is the physical system which enables the messaging functionality, which is the network of FIG. 1. No other "systems" are described in the original disclosure which correlate to such a messaging system. Since the database management system (RDBMS) is physically inside of this system, it cannot now be arbitrarily defined as

Application/Control Number: 09/731,088 Page 3

Art Unit: 2164

being separate from the database system. Accordingly, the amended features of claims 1, 7 and 13 are new matter.

<u>Claims 2-6, 8-12, 14-18:</u> Depend on claims 1, 7, 13 respectively.

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is inoperable.

Claims 1, 7 and 13: Each of claims 1, 7 and 13 define the message system as being separate from the database system. In such a configuration, the invention is inoperable since the database system would have no access or communication with the message system, thus disabling any possible messaging functionality in the database system.

<u>Claims 2-6, 8-12, 14-18:</u> Depend on claims 1, 7, 13, respectively.

Although no prior art is applied, no indication of patentability is made due to the claimed invention being determined as inoperable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sam Rimell at telephone number (571) 272-4084

Sam Rimell Primary Examiner Art Unit 2164